Differentiation of cancer cell type and phenotype using quantum dot-gold nanoparticle sensor arrays
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ABSTRACT

We demonstrate rapid and efficient sensing of mammalian cell types and states using nanoparticle-based sensor arrays. These arrays are comprised of cationic quantum dots (QDs) and gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) that interact with cell surfaces to generate distinguishable fluorescence responses based on cell surface signatures. The use of QDs as the recognition elements as well as the signal transducers presents the potential for direct visualization of selective cell surface interactions. Notably, this sensor is unbiased, precluding the requirement of pre-knowledge of cell state biomarkers and thus providing a general approach for phenotypic profiling of cell states, with additional potential for imaging applications.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Early detection and screening of cancerous cell states is a crucial determinant for successful treatment and patient survival. Current methodologies for cancer diagnosis are based on the detection of biomarkers, such as genes, proteins, or other specific molecules [1,2]. For example, prostate specific antigen (PSA) is a widely used clinical biomarker for prostate cancer in the early detection [3,4], and receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are surface biomarkers used for cancer cell monitoring [5,6]. Antibody arrays [7], DNA microarrays [8], mass spectrometry [9], and electrophoreses [10] have been employed as useful tools for cancer diagnosis based on the understanding of the cancer biomarkers. However, single “lock-key” recognition of specific biomarker is limited by the requirement of pre-knowledge about the disease biomarkers. Lack of a unique marker or combination of biomarkers for cell types often poses a major challenge in the early detection of cancer [11]. Therefore, alternate methodologies with greater selectivity, higher sensitivity, rapid detection ability, and exploiting the overall cellular signatures would enhance our ability to detect and identify cancer.

Selective array-based sensing methodologies provide potential alternatives to specific recognition approaches, relying on the differential affinities for analyte identification [12]. Sensors have been developed utilizing this approach for identification of cancer cells, using fluorescent polymers [13,14], magnetic glyco-nanoparticles [15], and non-covalent conjugates of gold nanoparticles and fluorophores [16,17].

We introduce here a new nanoparticle-based sensor for discriminating between cell types and states by their surface properties. This sensor strategy uses quantum dots and gold nanoparticles as recognition elements. The quantum dots serve as transducers [18], relying on their unique optical properties, such as high quantum yield, excellent photostability, and spatially resolvable multiple emission signals [19,20]. The gold nanoparticle serves to modulate the fluorescence response of the sensor, employing distance-dependent quenching of quantum dot emission [21]. With these unique physicochemical properties of quantum dots and gold nanoparticles, we fabricated a sensing system using two quantum dots (green: GQD and red: RQD) and one gold nanoparticle (AuNP) for cell state discrimination (Scheme 1). Variation in fluorescence provides distinct patterns to discern between different cell types/states. Moreover, this quantum dot-gold nanoparticle-based sensor achieves dual-channel fluorescence output from each particle mixture, providing four fluorescence signals with single excitation that enables rapid, effective, and one-step detection.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich unless otherwise stated. The organic solvents were bought from Pharmco–Aaper or Fisher Scientific and used as received except for dichloromethane that was distilled in the presence of calcium hydride. Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Sigma, D5523) and fetal bovine serum (Fisher Scientific, SH3007103) were used in cell culture.

2.2. Preparation of quantum dots and gold nanoparticles

Trioctylphosphine oxide/trioctylphosphine/hexadecylamine (TOP/TOP/HDA)-capped CdSe/ZnS core−shell quantum dots were prepared according to reported procedures [22]. 6 nm dodecanethiol-protected gold nanoparticles (AuNPs-DT)
2.3. Confocal microscopy

Supporting information (Scheme S1). were used to obtain the water-soluble cationic quantum dots and gold nanoparticles to discern different types/states of cells. The sensing system contains two arrays: \(|QD|_{au} \) is the mixture of \(QD\) and \(RQD\); \(|QD/AuNP|_{au}\) is the mixture of \(QD\), \(RQD\), and \(AuNP\). These two arrays are placed in separated wells and each array provides two fluorescence responses.

were prepared according to Miyake’s heat-induced size evolution of gold nanoparticles with a slight modification. 2 nm AuNPs-DT were heated to 165 °C at the heating rate of 2 °C/min and held for 30 min at 165 °C [23]. Ligand exchange reactions were used to obtain the water-soluble cationic quantum dots and gold nanoparticles. The general synthetic procedures for dithiolate ligands are available in the Supporting information (Scheme S1).

2.6. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

The fluorescence change \((I/I_0)\) patterns were subjected to linear discriminant analysis (LDA) using SYSTAT (version 11.0) to classify and identify different cells. LDA is a supervised multivariate method that is used to separate classes of objects or assign new objects to appropriate classes. The analysis generates a new space given by the canonical discriminant factors (LDA vectors), which describes best similarities and differences between groups under consideration. The raw fluorescence response patterns were transformed to canonical patterns where the ratio of between-class variance to the within-class variance was maximized according to the pre-assigned grouping. We followed the “leave-one-out” method to identify the unknown cell test by checking the Mahalanobis distance in LDA. The Mahalanobis distance is the distance of a case to the centroid of a group in a multidimensional space and is calculated for the new case to the centroid of respective training samples. The new case is assigned to the group with the shortest Mahalanobis distance.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of quantum dots and gold nanoparticles

Three quaternary ammonium ligands featuring thiolated anchoring groups were used to functionalize the quantum dots (previously capped with TOPO/TOP/HDA) and gold nanoparticles (originally protected by dodecanethiol). \(QGDs\) were functionalized with hydroxypropyl terminated group and \(RQDs\) were modified with hexyl terminated group. These two headgroups capable of different non-covalent interaction with cell provide the selectivity of nanoparticle attachment on cell surfaces. Additionally, the design of the ligands is based on previous reports where these ligands contributed principally in the differential sensing [17,24].
GQDs and RQDs showed the emission maxima at 550 nm and 590 nm respectively, and the fluorescence intensities could be measured at the well-separated maxima without any interference (Fig. 1a). AuNPs were functionalized with trimethylammonium terminated ligands through a Murray place exchange reaction [25,26]. The typical surface plasmon resonance (SPR) absorption maxima of the 6 nm AuNPs was observed around 520 nm (Fig. 1b). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) experiment indicated the hydrodynamic diameters of these nanoparticles ranged from 13 nm to 15 nm, and all the particles possessed positive charges with a zeta potential of 18–22 mV (Fig. S1).

3.2. Imaging and sensor arrays

The sensing system was composed of two arrays of cationic nanoparticle mixtures: \([\text{QD}]_\text{M},\) a mixture of GQD and RQD, and \([\text{QD}/\text{AuNP}]_\text{M},\) a mixture of GQD, RQD, and AuNP. The positively charged surfaces of the nanoparticles interacted with cell surface through electrostatic attraction [27]. In addition to electrostatic interactions, the surface functionalities on the particles were designed to introduce different non-covalent interactions [28–30]. GQDs were functionalized with a hydroxyl head group to provide hydrogen bonding affinity, whereas RQDs functionalized with an n-hexyl head group were expected to introduce hydrophobic interactions. The AuNPs were equipped with trimethylammonium terminated ligands to offer solely electrostatic interaction. Since different cell membrane surfaces consist of various amounts and types of amphipathic phospholipids, carbohydrates, and membrane proteins [31], our sensor would be expected to achieve nanoparticle–cell surface recognition by differential competitive and selective non-covalent interactions.

Confocal microscopy was employed to visualize the interactions between nanoparticles and cell surfaces. A fluorescent ring was clearly observed around the HeLa cell surfaces after incubation with the \([\text{QD}]_\text{M}\) for 15 min, indicating efficient and rapid attachment of the cationic QDs on the negatively charged cell surfaces (Fig. 2a–d). Furthermore, the merged image of red and green channels indicated the co-assembly of the two QDs in \([\text{QD}]_\text{M}\) on the cell surfaces. Upon incubation with \([\text{QD}/\text{AuNP}]_\text{M}\), fluorescence intensities of the QDs on the cell surfaces became weaker (Fig. 2f–h), suggesting co-localization of the QDs and gold nanoparticles on the cell surfaces leading to fluorescence quenching by the AuNPs. To investigate the potential cellular uptake during the incubation of nanoparticles with cell suspensions, the z-stack confocal images and 3D projections were applied that demonstrated QD distribution (Fig. S2 and Video S1). GQDs were incubated with cell
3.3. Discrimination of different cancer cell types

The above experimental results imply that cell surfaces act as platforms to provide unique micro-environments, resulting in distinct assembly of nanoparticles around different cell types that generate distinguishing fluorescence responses for each cell type. As a preliminary test of selective interaction between particles and cell surfaces, four types of human cancer cells from different organs were discriminated using the particle-based sensor: HeLa (cervical), HepG2 (liver), MCF-7 (breast), and NT2 (testis). Each cell suspension (10 μL in DPBS) was added into |QD|M and |QD/AuNP|M solutions (200 μL) in six replicates. Owing to the diverse cell membrane compositions and the variety of nanoparticle surfaces, nanoparticles interacting with the different cell surfaces generated different fluorescence responses in |QD|M and |QD/AuNP|M (Fig. 4a). The ratios of final to initial fluorescence intensities showed a distinct pattern characteristic of each cell type. We used linear discriminant analysis (LDA) to statistically characterize the fluorescence responses and classify these cells into different groups according to their response signatures. LDA generated canonical factors that were linear combinations of the response patterns (4 sensor elements × 4 cell types). Using the first two canonical factors that contained 94.0% and 5.5% of the variation, we plotted the fluorescence responses against these four cancer cells. As shown in Fig. 4b, the cell lines were classified into four distinct clusters with 100% accuracy (95% confidence ellipses). Overall, this simple sensor could efficiently distinguish between cancer cells, exploiting their cell surface signatures.

3.4. Discrimination of isogenic cell states

Isogenic cells with the same genetic background provide an important testbed for sensor validation on subject-to-subject
variability. To verify the ability of the sensor to differentiate between isogenic normal, cancerous, and metastatic cells, we used three cell lines derived from BALB/c mice. CDBgeo cells, with normal outgrowths, were prepared by retroviral infection with a marker gene encoding the fusion of β-galactosidase and neomycin resistance. Tumorigenic TD cells were prepared by treating CDBgeo cells with 10 ng/mL TGF-β for 14 days [35] followed by withdrawal for five passages. V14 cell line was established from a primary mammary tumor in BALB/c-Trp53+/- mice [36]. These cells lack p53 protein and possess a metastatic characteristic in mice. The training set contained 2 nanoparticle mixtures (|QD|M and |QD/AuNP|M) × 3 isogenic cells × 6 replicates. Fig. 5a represents the fluorescence responses from |QD|M and |QD/AuNP|M upon incubation with the cells. The fluorescence patterns were found to be very distinct and reproducible. LDA clustered the responses from the three isogenic cell lines into three non-overlapping groups (95% confidence ellipses, and 100% identification accuracy) with two canonical factors containing 92.6% and 7.4% of the variation.

These results demonstrate the ability of this simple sensing array to fully discriminate between the isogenic normal, metastatic, and cancerous cells based on the subtle changes on their cell surfaces. The detection limit in our sensing system was ~10,000 cells with only 15 min of response time. Furthermore, we observed 100% identification accuracy of 30 unknown samples, validating the robustness of the sensor (see Supporting information).
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Fig. 5. Differentiation of three isogenic cell lines. (a) Fluorescence changes of the nanoparticle mixtures (|QD|M and |QD/AuNP|M) after the incubation with different cells. Each response was averaged by six parallel measurements. (b) Canonical plots for the obtained fluorescence patterns with LDA against three isogenic cell lines.

4. Conclusion

We have successfully demonstrated the ability of a nanoparticle-based sensing system to discriminate four types of cancer cells as well as isogenic normal, cancerous, and metastatic cells. Notably, the sensing approach relies on the phenotypic differences in the physicochemical properties of cell surfaces. In the sensor, the use of QDs as both the recognition elements and transducers not only presented a stable signal transduction and the simultaneous signal acquisition from a single well of the microplate, but also provided a ‘toolkit’ for direct visualization of selectivity-based cell surface interactions. Taken together, this unbiased sensor provides a simple but effective method to profile different cell types and states, with the potential of cell surface sensing and imaging for point-of-care diagnosis.
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