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The aim of regenerative engineering is to restore complex tissues and biological systems through convergence in the fields of advanced biomaterials, stem cell science, and developmental biology. Hydrogels are one of the most attractive biomaterials for regenerative engineering, since they can be engineered into tissue mimetic 3D scaffolds to support cell growth due to their similarity to native extracellular matrix. Advanced nano- and micro-technologies have dramatically increased the ability to control properties and functionalities of hydrogel materials by facilitating biomimetic fabrication of more sophisticated compositions and architectures, thus extending our understanding of cell-matrix interactions at the nanoscale. With this perspective, this review discusses the most commonly used hydrogel materials and their fabrication strategies for regenerative engineering. We highlight the physical, chemical, and functional modulation of hydrogels to design and engineer biomimetic tissues based on recent achievements in nano- and micro-technologies. In addition, current hydrogel-based regenerative engineering strategies for treating multiple tissues, such as musculoskeletal, nervous and cardiac tissue, are also covered in this review. The interaction of multiple disciplines including materials science, cell biology, and chemistry, will further play an important role in the design of functional hydrogels for the regeneration of complex tissues.
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1 Introduction

Since most human tissues have limited capacity for regeneration, severe damage caused by trauma, degenerative diseases, or congenital abnormalities can result in irreversible disability, or even death. Thus, patients with serious tissue damage must rely on organ transplantation to regain functions. Despite the increased prevalence of organ transplantations in the clinic, this approach is still limited by the large gap between organ recipients and donors. To overcome this situation, tissue engineering and regenerative medicine have great potential for restoring individual tissues or organs by incorporating scaffolds with the patient’s own cells [1, 2]. As an extension of these two approaches, regenerative engineering now aims to repair more complex tissues and biological systems by integrating materials engineering, stem cell science, and developmental biology [3].

A major challenge in regenerative engineering is the design and fabrication of a suitable scaffold, which can mimic native extracellular matrix (ECM) and direct stem cells to regenerate functional tissues. In this respect, hydrogels are one of the most promising biomaterials based on their high-water content, biocompatibility and easy tunability for recreating the properties of the ECM [4]. Recently, various types of hydrogels with optimized physical and chemical properties have been created for regenerative engineering to repair different tissues [5, 6]. For example, various biophysical cues, such as stiffness, porosity, and degradation, have been incorporated into hydrogel scaffolds in a spatiotemporally controlled manner to systematically regulate the behavior of cells, including their migration, proliferation, and differentiation [7, 8]. In addition, many advanced chemical strategies, and the incorporation of functional materials, have also been proposed to improve the biocompatibility and functionality of hydrogels [9].

The successful regeneration of complex tissues can be achieved by mimicking the varying compositions and structures of various native ECM. In this regard, advanced nano- and micro-technologies can dramatically aid regenerative engineering by extending our understanding of cell-matrix interactions at the nanoscale, and facilitating the fabrication of more sophisticated multicellular architectures. For example, hydrogel scaffolds that are spatially patterned with different biophysical and biochemical cues at the nanoscale have been used to investigate cell behavior [8, 10]. Recently, an ECM-mimetic nanofibrous hydrogel with tunable architecture and mechanics has been developed by integrating advanced polymer processing technologies, such as electrospinning and soft lithography, to enable the examination of cellular mechanosensing and their subsequent responses [11].

In this review, we first introduce the most commonly used hydrogel materials and their fabrication methodologies for regenerative engineering. We then discuss different physical, chemical, and functional modulation possibilities of hydrogels to design and engineer biomimetic tissues by utilizing nano- and micro-technologies. In addition, important hydrogel-based regenerative engineering strategies for treating multiple tissues, such as musculoskeletal, neural, and cardiac systems, are highlighted. In the future, we believe that nano- and micro-fabricated hydrogels will play a key role in regenerative engineering to heal multiple tissue types.

2 Hydrogel materials and their fabrication techniques for regenerative engineering

The design of optimal biomaterials is one of the main goals of regenerative engineering, which can provide a systematic microenvironment for directing cellular behaviors. Various hydrogels have been developed to repair or regenerate damaged tissues, due to their tunable properties and similarity with the body’s ECM. Hydrogels feature a wide range of physiochemical properties and functionalities for complex tissue regeneration, compared to the limited usages of biomaterials in traditional tissue engineering [3]. In this section, we first introduce the most commonly used hydrogel materials and their crosslinking mechanisms for regenerative engineering. Then, we present some examples of nano- and micro-fabrication techniques for hydrogel development, including lithography, bioprinting and electrospinning (Fig. 1).

2.1 Hydrogel materials and crosslinking mechanisms

A variety of natural and synthetic polymers have been employed to engineer hydrogels. The most commonly used natural hydrogel materials include: collagen, hyaluronic acid, gelatin, chitosan, alginate, and chondroitin sulfate [12]. On the other hand, synthetic biodegradable polymers with controlled microstructures and mechanical properties have also been widely utilized for the fabrication of hydrogels, including: poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAm), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), and poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid (PLGA) [13–15]. However, due to the limited biological moieties of synthetic hydrogels, various combinations of natural and synthetic hydrogels have been developed with enhanced...
biological and mechanical properties, such as chitosan-PEG, collagen-PNIPAm, and chitosan-poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) [16–19].

Recently, as one of natural polymers, hydrogels derived from decellularized ECM (dECM) have attracted attention due to their natural architecture and composition, with a minimal immunogenic response. Multiple types of dECM have been derived from tissues including heart, lung, liver, kidney, cartilage, bone, retina, trachea, and dermis using various decellularization techniques, such as mechanical, chemical, enzymatic, or detergent approaches [20–24]. To avoid a potential immune response, xenogenic or allogenic cellular contents can be thoroughly removed by various decellularization approaches, such as physical (e.g. freeze/thaw cycles), chemical (e.g. SDS), and enzymatic protocols (e.g. trypsin-EDTA) [25, 26]. Based on these approaches, multiple types of dECM products derived from bone (Osteofit®, Optium DBM®), skin (AlloDerm®), heart valve (SAPIEN 3®) have been approved by the FDA and used in the clinic. These dECM materials

Figure 1. Strategies for the nano- and micro-fabrication of hydrogels: (A) 3D printed vascular hydrogel constructs using a blended bioink. (i) Schematic diagram of the blended bioink, including cell-laden alginate, GelMA, and PEGTA. (ii) Schematics of the 3D printed tubular constructs and corresponding fluorescence microscopy images. (iii) 3D confocal structure of the bioprinted tubes containing green fluorescent beads. Red fluorescent beads were perfused inside the lumens. (iv) Fluorescence images before and after perfusion of red fluorescent beads in a continuous 3D printed tube. Images reprinted with permission [31]. Copyright 2016, Elsevier. (B) Tubular-patterned PVA hydrogel graft based on nanoimprint lithography. (i) The macroscopic view of either unpatterned or patterned PVA graft. (ii) High magnification images of the tubular patterns in PVA graft. (iii) Patency of patterned PVA graft with 2 μm gratings and occlusion of unpatterned PVA graft demonstrated by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. (iv) Grating structures were observed through H&E. (v) Endothelial cells adhered to the lumen demonstrated by immunostaining of PVA graft with 2 μm gratings. Images adapted with permission [38]. Copyright 2016, Elsevier. (C) Multilayered tendon tissue graft fabricated by dual electrospinning of methacrylated gelatin and PCL. (i) Schematic for fabrication of composite scaffold. (ii) Aligned fibers observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM). (iii) Human adipose-derived stem cells with elongated morphology grow along the fiber direction. Images reproduced with permission [49]. Copyright 2016, Elsevier.
can be used as the sole element to form a hydrogel, or can be combined with additional materials to generate a hybrid hydrogel [20].

Various chemical and physical crosslinking methods have been widely utilized for hydrogel fabrication. Stable hydrogels can be prepared by chemical crosslinking, with enhanced mechanical properties through the formation of strong covalent bonds [27]. On the other hand, physical gelation is a result of non-covalent interactions, such as van der Waals interactions, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions, electrostatic forces and/or intermolecular assemblies [28]. Therefore, the mechanical strength of physically crosslinked hydrogels is relatively low compared to chemically crosslinked ones, so they can easily disintegrate in the body because of the non-covalent linkages [29]. However, chemically crosslinked hydrogels can be less biocompatible due to the potential cytotoxicity of the residual polymerization initiators and organic solvents. To obtain the advantages of both chemical and physical crosslinking methods, hybrid hydrogels containing two or more precursors are fabricated by a dual-stage crosslinking approach. For example, a low-viscosity alginate and gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA) bioink was developed for 3D printing to enhance printing resolution and speed, and thus promote the viability of encapsulated cells [30]. The initial template of hydrogel constructs was formed by physical crosslinking alginate by exposure to a calcium ion solution. The chemical crosslinking of GelMA was then conducted by exposure to ultra-violet (UV) light. In addition, other components such as four-arm poly(ethylene glycol)-tetra-acrylate (PEGTA) can also be incorporated in such a system to enhance its mechanical strength by increasing crosslinking density. This branched structure of PEGTA can provide a highly porous structure to promote cell growth and spreading (Fig. 1A) [31].

2.2 Nano- and micro-fabricating strategies

Recent achievements in nano- and micro-fabrication have opened opportunities for creating hydrogel scaffolds in a controlled manner to direct stem cell behavior and induce neo tissue formation for regenerative engineering [12, 32]. Microfabrication techniques such as lithography and 3D bioprinting have been extensively used to engineer hydrogel scaffolds [12, 33]. There are also advances in nano-fabrication methodologies, such as the electrospinning of nanofibers and supramolecular self-assembly, to fabricate hydrogel scaffolds [34, 35].

2.2.1 Lithography

Lithography can be used to pattern hydrogels with bioactive features at the nano- and microscale, to enhance cell spreading, migration, and differentiation [8, 36]. In a recent study, multilayer photolithography was employed to design complex neural networks with spatially controlled hydrogel architectures, including rectangular prisms, hemispherical, concentric and typescript patterns using a number of photo masks and hydrogel precursors [37]. Multiple cell types (e.g. embryonic stem cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts) were also digitally sculpted in this 3D engineered tissue prototype in a high-throughput manner [37]. In addition to conventional planar patterning, Cutongco et al. fabricated a PVA vascular graft with a patterned luminal surface using dip-casting method (Fig. 1B) [38]. On this plasma modified PVA hydrogel, endothelial cells were grown as a monolayer, and expressed markers of endothelial function. More interestingly, in contrast to the clot formation in unpatterned PVA grafts, hydrogels with luminal patterns showed endothelialization and patency 20 days after implantation in rat aorta.

2.2.2 Bioprinting

Bioprinting is a computer-assisted design-based approach which incorporates cell-laden biomaterials to fabricate complex 3D functional tissue constructs [39, 40]. Bioinks play a key role in this approach, as they provide a constructive scaffold, and also maintain printed cell viability and activity. Natural hydrogels including collagen [41], gelatin [42], alginate [30], and hyaluronic acid (HA) [43], as well as synthetic hydrogels such as Pluronic [44] and PEG [45], are widely utilized as bioinks. Recently, tissue-specific dECM, derived from adipose, cartilage, or heart tissues, has attracted increasing attention for use as a bioink, as it can provide a biomimetic microenvironment for cell survival and function [24]. In addition, bioinks can be simultaneously deposited using multiple material printing to mimic tissue interfaces with gradually changing composition and structure [30, 46].

2.2.3 Electrospinning

Electrospinning can be used to mimic the nanofibrous features of the native ECM. A variety of natural and synthetic polymers, such as collagen, gelatin, silk fibroin, polycaprolactone (PCL), PLGA and polyurethane, have been electrospun and utilized in cardiac, skeletal, and skin tissue regeneration [47, 48]. However, most of these materials are rigid with limited water absorption, while natural ECM is of highly aqueous state. To address this limitation, Wade et al. utilized norbornene- or methacrylate-functionalized HA to generate electrospun nanofibrous hydrogels, which can swell after hydration and mimic soft-tissue microenvironments [10]. The topography and degradability of these hydrogels can be tuned by adding protease-degradable peptides. As another example, a multilayered PCL/gelatin fiber hydrogel composite was fabricated by dual electrospinning for tendon tissue regeneration, which combined the advantages of PCL and gelatin. PCL is a biocompatible polymer and has a low degradation rate, which matches well with the slow healing rate of damaged tendons. However, PCL is hydrophobic with low wettabilit-
ity and has poor bioactivity. On the other hand, gelatin has poor mechanical property but can contain a large volume of water, and thus can provide a highly aqueous microenvironment [49]. A composite scaffold fabricated by the co-electrospinning of PCL and methacryloyl-modified gelatin improved mechanical strength after photocrosslinking, compared to a non-crosslinked scaffold. In addition, human adipose-derived stem cells could infiltrate into this construct and were responsive to topographical features and exogenous tenogenic factors (Fig. 1C).

3 Physical modulation of hydrogels for regenerative engineering

Native tissues present a wide range of physical features, including rigidity and porosity. For example, human tissue exhibits tissue-specific stiffness by over seven orders of magnitude, ranging from as low as 167 Pa for breast tissue [50], to as high as 54 GPa for cortical bone [51]. There are also different optimum pore sizes of implants for inducing regeneration of different types of tissues: 5 µm in pore diameter for vascularization [52], 5–15 µm for fibroblast ingrowth [53], 20–125 µm for adult skin regeneration [54], and 100–350 µm for bone regeneration [55]. Cells can sense these mechanical features via mechanotransduction to differentiate into different lineages [5, 6]. Hydrogels can provide biophysical signals in a controlled manner to regulate cellular behavior, by mimicking these physical features at the micro- and nanoscale (Fig. 2). This approach has resulted in a greater understanding of the developmental mechanism of cells and their responses in various disease conditions. The low mechanical strength of hydrogels has limited their applications in regenerative engineering, especially for load-bearing tissues. Physical modification via increasing network density may restrict nutrition diffusion and influence cell viability [56]. To address these challenges, various nanoparticles, acting as enhancing materials, have been utilized in hydrogels to optimize their physical properties [57–59]. In addition, progress in biofabrication technologies, such as bioprinting and microfluidics, has enabled us to develop 3D cell-delivery hydrogels for complex tissue regeneration [40, 60, 61].

3.1 Mechanical strength

The mechanical properties of hydrogels can influence cellular differentiation by various mechanotransductive pathways, such as nuclear mechanics, actin cytoskeleton tension and integrity, and integrin mediated adhesion signal [5, 62, 63]. Early studies using 2D substrates suggested that rigid hydrogels promote osteogenic differentiation, while compliant hydrogels enhance neuro- and adipogenic differentiation [6]. Recently, the stiffness of 3D hydrogel matrices have been precisely controlled to direct lineage specifications of stem cells [5, 6]. For example, Huebsch et al. investigated the effects of stiffness on cells encapsulated within 3D arginyl-glycyl-aspartic acid (RGD) peptide presenting alginate hydrogels. The results demonstrated that osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) was promoted when cells were grown in 3D matrices with a stiffness of 11–30 kPa, while adipogenic differentiation of MSCs was enhanced when the stiffness of the gel was 2.5–5 kPa [5].

Since hydrogels face a diminished diffusion rate when their network density becomes too high, the mechanical properties of hydrogels can be also modulated by incorporating various nanomaterials. For example, inorganic nanoparticles (such as calcium phosphates [57] and silicates [64]) have been incorporated in hydrogels for bone tissue regeneration, by enhancing mechanical strength and osteogenic properties of nanocomposite hydrogels. In addition, carbon-based nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [59] and graphene oxide [65], have been incorporated into hydrogels, providing electrical conduc-

**Figure 2.** Controlling cell behavior in 3D hydrogels by physical, chemical, and biofunctional modulation for regenerative engineering.
tivity and enhancing mechanical properties for cardiac regeneration. Recently, Jaiswal et al. synthesized nitro-
dopamine-PEG functionalized magnetic nanoparticles as a mechanical reinforcement agent in GelMA hydrogels [66]. The addition of nanoparticles with 10 000-fold lower concentrations compared to the polymer increased the stiff-
ness of the nanocomposite hydrogel more than 10-fold. As well, the encapsulated cells showed high viability using the minimum concentration of nanoparticles [66].

Another trend in recent research is to understand cell-
ular mechanotransduction through spatiotemporal control of hydrogels stiffness, which mimics the dynamic growth of native tissues and progress of wound healing. Inspired by the viscoelastic properties of natural ECM, Chaudhuri et al. regulated the stress relaxation rates of alginate hydrogels by modulating their nanoscale archite-
tecture [67]. Interestingly, rapidly relaxing hydrogels pro-
moted spreading, proliferation, and osteogenic differentia-
tion of MSCs by mechanical clustering of adhesion li-
gands [67]. Guvendiren and Burdick investigated the cell response to dynamic mechanics by temporally manipu-
lating the elasticity of a hydrogel system through a se-
quential crosslinking approach [7]. The initial gelation was obtained by introducing dithiothreitol into a methac-
rylated HA solution, and then the hydrogel was stiffened by light-mediated radical polymerization. The result showed that adipogenic differentiation of human MSCs (hMSCs) was favored when cells were grown on a soft substrate with longer time, while osteogenic differentia-
tion was preferred when cells were cultivated for a longer time on a stiff substrate. In addition, Yang et al. controlled the magnitude of gel stiffness and spatial organization on a photodegradable hydrogel matrix by utilizing litho-
graphic masks and photopatterning soft and stiff regions at a micrometer scale [8]. The results showed that cells had a larger spread area and elongated morphologies as the stiff regions on a hydrogel substrate increased. In ad-
dition, regular patterns with high stiffness enhanced hMSCs osteogenic differentiation compared to random patterns. The precise spatial control of hydrogel me-
chanical properties can mimic the gradually changing stiffness of the native soft-to-hard tissue interface, such as ligament-to-bone or tendon-to-bone [68].

3.2 Porosity

Given the limited diffusion in hydrogels, porosity is a cru-
cial physical factor to facilitate the transport of nutrients and oxygen for cell survival [56]. Connected pore net-
works in hydrogels can also promote cell migration. How-
ever, the optimal pore size for cell motility is still contro-
versial. If the pore size becomes too large, cells recognize their contact surface as a quasi-2D environment and become more influenced by surface properties of the material such as stiffness, as if they are on 2D substrate. However, when cells are migrating through porous struc-
ture, which has smaller dimension than their size, their migration speed and efficiency becomes more dependent on 3D geometry. As a result, different optimum pore sizes are required depending on 3D geometry and material properties of the scaffolds and cell types [69, 70]. For example, migration distance of MSCs in scaffolds was the longest when pore diameter (12 μm) was comparatively similar to cell size, compared to the smaller (7 μm) or the larger ones (17 μm) [69]. Also, the migration speed of fibro-
blasts decreased as the pore size of hydrogels increased from 90 to 150 μm [71].

Traditional approaches for generating porous hydrogels include porogen leaching [72], gas formation [73], freeze-
drying [74], and electrospinning [75]. Despite success in fabricating porous hydrogels with their pore diameter rang-
ing from few to hundreds of micrometers, the precise con-
rol of the pore size and their spatial arrangement at the nanoscale still remains a challenge [55]. In fact, channel networks in the human body are composed of hierarchical structure from the nanometer to millimeter scale to effi-
ciently supply fluid and nutrients to the peripheral tissues. In the absence of anastomosis and blood perfusion, cell migration and proliferation can be impeded, delaying tissue regeneration [76]. Recently, more advanced tech-
nologies, such as bioprinting [77] and microfluidics [61], have been utilized to engineer complex porous microarchi-
tectures in hydrogels. For example, by using a 3D printed network of carboxylated glass as a sacrificial template, an inter-
connected open cylindrical lumen ranging from 150 μm to 750 μm was fabricated in different types of hydrogels, such as: agarose, alginate, PEG, fibrin, and Matrigel. This lumen network allowed the perfusion of medium in hydrogel and supported the growth of human umbilical vein endothelium cells (HUVECs) [77].

Since porosity is generally inversely correlated with stiffness, another challenge in the fabrication of hydrogel scaffolds is to retain their mechanical strength while hav-
ing a sufficient level of porosity to provide nutrients and oxygen in every local region. To address this issue, an increasing number of studies have attempted to incorpo-
rate soft hydrogels with rigid scaffolds [60, 78]. For example, Kang et al. incorporated micro channels into tissue constructs by printing multiple types of inks, including supporting PCL polymers, cell-laden hydrogels, and sacrificial Pluronic F-127 hydrogels [60]. In this sys-
tem, efficient nutrition supply was secured by the micro channels ranging from 300 to 650 μm, while the shape was supported by a rigid PCL scaffold. With an optimal balance between porosity and mechanical stability, this printing technique was used to create and repair various tissues, such as bone, cartilage, and muscle.

3.3 Physical degradation

The degradation of the hydrogel in an engineered scaffold can provide space for cellular migration and blood vessel
infiltration, leading to the successful regeneration of the tissue [79]. Interestingly, the degradability of hydrogels can also act as an independent regulator of stem cell fate [80]. Ideally, the degradation rate of hydrogels should match well with the formation rate of the neo-tissues, so that the treated tissue region can remain mechanically stable until the newly developed tissue attains sufficient integrity.

The degradability of hydrogels depends on various physical factors, such as the material properties of hydrogels (e.g. liquid diffusivity, cross linking density, etc.) and the microenvironment conditions (e.g. pH, temperature, etc.). As an example, alginate is widely used as an ionically crosslinked hydrogel, because of its high biocompatibility and ease of gelation [81, 82]. Alginate hydrogels can be prepared by combining alginate solutions with calcium chloride, in which Ca$^{2+}$ ions can bind to guluronate blocks of the alginate chains. However, after crosslinking, the limited release of Ca$^{2+}$ ions from these hydrogels can cause slow degradation, resulting in poor cell viability [83]. To solve this problem, recently, Wu et al. increased the degradability of calcium-crosslinked alginate by adding sodium citrate, which can chelate calcium ions within the hydrogel. By controlling the ratio of sodium citrate and alginate, the degradation of the 3D printed alginate hydrogel was precisely controlled, resulting in high cell viability and proliferation [84].

4 Chemical modulation of hydrogel for regenerative engineering

While traditional hydrogels have provided a relatively static microenvironment for cells, recent advances in hydrogel fabrication have led to the generation of dynamic systems that can respond to biological signals in a spatiotemporally controlled manner [85]. To harness the dynamic physicochemical properties in a hydrogel system, micro- and nano-technologies have been used to modulate the chemical properties of hydrogels, such as stability, bioactivity, pH and temperature sensitivity, and degradability (Fig. 2). However, the conjugation of chemical and long-term crosslinking procedures may influence the viabilities of the cells encapsulated in the hydrogels [86]. In this section, chemically modulated hydrogels with high biocompatibility for regenerative engineering are introduced.

4.1 Stability

The stability of hydrogels is an important factor to retain its original structure and function within a sufficient period of time in vivo, until tissue regeneration is successfully induced [87]. While dimensional stability is closely related with shrinkage, materials that have a high shrinkage degree can cause mismatch between the implant and tissues [88]. For example, although collagen is a major component of the native tissues and has been widely used in biomedical engineering, it has poor stability and is susceptible to extensive shrinkage after immersion in liquid, limiting its applications in tissue regeneration [89]. To address this challenge, aminated bioactive glass nanoparticles (BGn) were incorporated into collagen and formed strong chemical bonds between positively charged amine groups of BGn and negatively charged carboxyl groups of collagen. While the traction forces of cells encapsulated in the hydrogel caused the contraction of the collagen up to $\approx$80% at 21 days, aminated BGn added collagen maintained its initial shape due to chemically stable networks. MSCs cultured in this hydrogel had higher viability and more extended morphology than those in pure collagen [89].

Chitosan is a widely used biomaterial based on its bioactivity and antioxidant properties, as it can scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS), making it particularly suitable for the regeneration of tissues in ischemic conditions such as myocardial infarction. However, the antioxidant activity of chitosan decreases as the molecular weight of chitosan increases, while hydrogel formation of chitosan enhances as the molecular weight decreases [90]. Therefore, to stably maintain the antioxidative function of chitosan-based hydrogels, glutathione (GSH) has been utilized, as it can also scavenge various reactive molecules and neutralize them. For example, Li et al. conjugated GSH to the chitosan chloride (CSCI) by amide bonding between carboxylic acid group of GSH and amine group of CSCI [90]. When cardiomyocytes were grown in this CSCI-GSH hydrogel constructs under high ROS conditions, excess amounts of intracellular ROS could be reduced, and thus cell apoptosis was prevented, suggesting its potential application for myocardial repair.

4.2 Cell adhesion properties

Cell adhesion is the initial step for cells to spread, proliferate, and differentiate to generate the tissue architecture. Through adhesive interactions, cells can communicate with each other and assemble into a 3D tissue structure [91]. In this respect, it is important to increase the attachments of cells to improve the formation of tissues. For example, although PEG has been extensively applied in the biomedical field based on its inherent biocompatibility and easy control of physicochemical properties, unmodified PEG hydrogels are inert and can adsorb only a limited amount of proteins. Thus, in general, many types of cells cannot attach on PEG hydrogels and have low viability when encapsulated in a PEG hydrogel [92]. To overcome this limitation, bioactive molecules for cell adhesion such as RGD peptides have been tethered to PEG hydrogels [92, 93]. By covalently incorporating enzymatic peptide substrates onto the PEG hydrogels, and using the transglutaminase factor XIII crosslinking
enzyme as a catalyst, counter-reactive substrates which are connected with biomolecules such as RGD peptides and complex proteins could be linked [36]. MSCs rapidly invaded into the RGD linked PEG hydrogels, and showed enhanced cell densities compared to PEG hydrogels without RGD peptides.

Similarly, despite the wide use of alginate for cell transplantation and tissue engineering, cells hardly attach or spread on unmodified alginate [94]. To overcome this limitation, ligands that contain the RGD motifs have been covalently immobilized on alginate polysaccharides using a carbodiimide reaction [93]. As a result, mammalian cells could adhere on the surface of alginate hydrogels that were modified with glycine-arginine-glycine-aspartic acid-tyrosine peptide. In another study by Jeon and Alsberg, methacrylated alginate was covalently modified with the cell adhesive glycine-arginine-glycine-aspartic acid-serine-proline peptide, and photocrosslinked to form a 3D hydrogel construct. As a result, adhesion and spreading of hMSCs on the surface of modified alginate hydrogels were significantly enhanced. Also, hMSCs encapsulated in the modified alginate hydrogel showed higher proliferation as the concentration of cell adhesion ligand was increased [95].

### 4.3 pH and temperature sensitivity

Hydrogels that can dynamically respond to external stimuli, such as temperature and pH, are useful for tissue regeneration to deliver biomolecules to the target region with high efficiency. For example, temperature-sensitive hydrogels that can transform phases between sol and gel at around physiological temperature can be injected into the body in a minimally invasive manner. In addition, pH sensitive hydrogels that can swell depending on pH changes can selectively deliver biomolecules to the defect sites where the local pH is acidic, such as in ischemia and inflammation.

Thermosensitive polymers such as PNIPAm have been widely investigated to introduce thermo-responsive functionality to hydrogels. PNIPAm stays at a soluble state in aqueous solution below its lower critical solution temperature (LCST: 32°C), and can reversibly transit into a gel form above its LCST [96]. By incorporating PNIPAm and adding other functional components, such as PEG, poly-(N,N’-dimethyl acrylamide), poly(2-Hydroxyethylmethacrylate) (HEMA), temperature-responsive injectable composite materials can be developed with enhanced mechanical properties and bioactivity [97–99]. For example, PNIPAm-based thermo-responsive hydrogel systems that include thiol-modified gelatin, Jeffamine M-1000 acrylamide (JAAm), and HEMA showed enhanced mechanical strength, based on the formation of strong networks between thiols and acrylates [100]. The incorporation of hydrophilic JAAm in this PNIPAm hydrogel system also led to a high water content in the gel, accelerating the degradation of the gel and the exchange of nutrients and gases [101]. When cardiomyocytes were encapsulated in this PNIPAm based injectable hydrogel, they showed high level of viability and expressed mature phenotypes of cardiomyocytes.

To provide pH-responsive functionality, carboxylic acid groups can be included in hydrogels, and the ionization/deionization of carboxylic acid groups can induce swelling/deswelling depending on pH conditions [102]. As the pH of the environment becomes alkaline, carboxylic acid groups become ionized (-COOH → COO-) and repel each other, leading to swelling of the hydrogel. Based on this phenomena, Matsusaki and Akashi developed a pH-sensitive hydrogel with semi-interpenetrating networks composed of γ-PGA and sulfonated γ-PEG [103]. Such carboxylic acid including hydrogels can exhibit swelling/deswelling transition depending on pH conditions, while neighboring sulfonic acids can also provide protons in water. As a result, growth factors, such as the pro-angiogenic fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF-2), are released as the surrounding pH changes. These pH-sensitive hydrogels can be applied for the treatment of defect sites with inflammation or ischemia, as these areas have comparatively acidic pH (< 6.5) compared to surrounding tissues [103].

### 4.4 Chemical degradation

Controlling the degradation rate of hydrogels is important, as it is highly desirable that the degradation rate of engineered tissues coincides with the regeneration of tissues at the defect site. The degradation rate of hydrogels can be chemically modulated through hydrolysis by controlled network crosslinking density, or enzymatic degradation by cell-mediated proteases [85].

Zustiak and Leach synthesized a hydrolytically degradable PEG hydrogel by crosslinking PEG vinyl sulfone with PEG diester-dithiol. The degradation time and mechanical properties of this modified PEG hydrogel were controlled by several parameters, such as: the distance between ester groups and thiol groups in the crosslinker, molecular weight of the crosslinker, and density of the polymer. This degradable synthetic PEG hydrogel was used to encapsulate cells and proteins, showing promise as a cell delivery platform to repair soft tissues [104]. Recently, an enzymatically degradable PEG hydrogel was prepared for cellular spheroid encapsulation using cysteine as a reducing agent under mild conditions. The hydrogel was fabricated by mixing an octa-thiolated PEG derivative, a small phenolic compound and horseradish peroxidase. Liver cell spheroids proliferated in the degradable scaffolds and showed higher level of liver-specific functions, such as urea production and albumin secretion, compared to that of 2D monolayers [105]. In addition, protease-sensitive peptides can be incorporated into the hydrogel, which can then be cleaved by prote-
ases produced by cells. In previous studies, Patterson and Hubbell prepared degradable PEG-based hydrogels functionalized with protease-sensitive peptides that can rapidly respond to cellular enzymatic remodeling [106]. These PEG hydrogels were degraded by matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1) or MMP-2. When fibroblasts were encapsulated in these degradable hydrogels, their spreading and proliferation was promoted with enhanced cellular invasion.

5 Biofunctionalization of hydrogels for regenerative engineering

The natural ECM provides not only mechanical stability for the cells, but also a dynamic and bioactive microenvironment which can influence cellular functions. Therefore, an optimal scaffold should mimic the function of the natural ECM and guide tissue development. To promote stem cell proliferation, maturation, and differentiation for building tissues and organs, developmental biologists have utilized growth factors (GFs), which can interact with a membrane receptor and trigger various intracellular signal transduction systems. The biofunctionalization can allow site-specific immobilization of GFs in the hydrogels by using various ligands [107, 108]. However, due to the expensiveness of GFs and other biomolecules, the efficient delivery and maintenance of functionality after conjugation remains a major challenge [109]. In this section, strategies are described for the covalent and noncovalent functionalization of hydrogels with GFs to mimic the natural ECM (Fig. 3).

5.1 Covalent immobilization of GFs in hydrogels

The chemically reactive side chains of amino acids, such as the thiol group of cysteine, the amine group of lysine, or the carboxylic acid groups of aspartic and glutamic
Primary amine groups (–NH₂) on lysine side chains and at the N-terminus of proteins can be conjugated to the hydrogel polymer backbone after activation of carboxylic groups by forming stable amide bonds, using 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) [107]. Furthermore, amine groups of GFs can be acrylated with acryloyl-polyethylene glycol (PEG)-NHS to perform co-photopolymerization with polymers containing acrylate groups. This method was used to incorporate GFs such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) [108] and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) [111] into PEG-diacylate (PEGDA) hydrogels.

Thiol groups (–SH) from cysteine can be used to immobilize GFs by the formation of disulfide bonds (–S-S–) or the formation of thioether bonds [107]. Thiols can react with an acrylate or methacrylate moiety through the Michael addition reaction. McGall et al. functionalized PEG hydrogels with transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) by conjugation of PEGDA with a thioldiated TGF-β [112]. Since vinyl sulfones can react selectively and more rapidly with thiols than acrylates [113], a variant of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was generated using genetic engineering, which contained an additional cysteine residue at the C-terminal position for covalent conjugation to PEG hydrogels carrying vinylsulfone groups [114]. After subcutaneous implantation in rats, these VEGF containing hydrogels were completely remodeled into native, vascularized tissue. PEG can be also functionalized with maleimide reactive groups due to fast reaction kinetics and high specificity for thiols at physiological pH [115]. Thus, Phelps et al. were able to successfully conjugate VEGF to PEG-maleimides, and the delivery of these hydrogels in a mouse model of hind-limb ischemia resulted in a significantly increased rate of reperfusion [116].

The presence of lysines in proteins offers attractive amine groups for the conjugation of hydrogels that include carboxyl groups. However, it is difficult to precisely control the conjugation sites, since most proteins possess many accessible lysine residues [117]. This can result in the unpredictable orientation of proteins, and lead to the loss of epitopes for the interaction with their targets, or steric shielding of proteins from their target. These structural damages in proteins can significantly reduce or even eliminate their bioactivity [110]. However, these issues can be solved by the precise control of the conjugation site. In contrast to lysine residues, cysteine residues can allow for the site-specific immobilization of proteins due to the low quantity of cysteine (< 2%) with reactive thiol groups in proteins [118].

5.2 Noncovalent immobilization of GFs in hydrogels

Affinity interactions can be used to noncovalently incorporate GFs into hydrogels. This strategy can be achieved by the direct loading of GFs, encapsulation of GF-containing carrier systems, and through interactions of GFs with other biomolecules such as ECM components, high-affinity molecular pairs, antibodies, or aptamers.

For noncovalent immobilization, electrostatic and van der Waals interactions that occur naturally between ECM components, such as glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) and GFs can be utilized, which do not require chemical or genetic modification of the protein [119]. Furthermore, the site-specific conjugation of GFs to hydrogels can be accomplished by the fusion of a tag, such as biotin or barstar, to the GF without affecting its bioactivity. Thereby, amino acids that are critical for the bioactivity of GFs can be prevented from chemical modification. The immobilization of the GF occurs due to the interaction between the tag of the GF (e.g. biotin) and the ligand (e.g. streptavidin) conjugated to the polymer backbone of the hydrogel. The placement of a tag at a defined position of a protein can also improve the homogenous orientation of the protein [110]. In this respect, this noncovalent GF immobilization approach has the advantage of minimizing the damages of GFs, enabling the maintenance of their bioactivity, particularly compared to the covalent conjugation approach. However, the control of the loading and the release of GFs still remains a challenge in the noncovalent approach, since it is largely dependent on the affinity of the GF to its ligand [120].

5.2.1 Direct loading of GFs in hydrogels

Direct loading of hydrogels with GFs is easily performed by simple mixing of the components during the hydrogel formation (Fig. 3A) [121]. Hiemstra et al. applied this strategy to load bFGF into dextran hydrogels by directly mixing bFGF with dextran vinyl sulfone and tetrafunctional mercapto PEG (PEG-4-SH), which could induce the controlled release of bFGF for 28 days [122].

In general, the release of proteins from hydrogels shows a biphasic pattern: an initial burst release of adsorbed and weakly bound molecules, followed by a slower release of entrapped molecules through the hydrogel by diffusion [123]. Thus, hydrogels directly loaded with GFs generally show a rapid burst release during initial swelling phase, followed by the extended release of proteins from the gel network [124]. Therefore, a great challenge of directly loaded hydrogels for regenerative engineering is the control of GF release over a long time without burst release [120]. Thus, affinity-based or covalent immobilization of GFs in hydrogels can prevent the burst release of GFs, and can enable the release of GFs in a more controlled manner than directly loaded GFs.

5.2.2 Encapsulation of GF containing carrier systems in hydrogels

To achieve the sustained release of proteins for long-term applications, carriers such as nanoparticles or microparticles loaded with GFs can be incorporated into hydrogels.
Compared to the direct loading of GFs, this strategy protects GFs from inactivation in biological environments, and supplies the required GF concentrations over extended periods until the formation of stable tissues.

Recently, Dong et al. developed platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) phospholipid complex loaded biodegradable poly (3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyhexanoate) nanoparticles for the sustained release of PDGF-BB in collagen hydrogel scaffolds, and demonstrated improved proliferation of hMSCs [125]. In another study, VEGF-loaded chitosan-dextran sulfate nanoparticles were incorporated into injectable alginate/fibrinogen hydrogels containing free VEGF to induce both rapid initial burst release and slower sustained release of VEGF in the injured spinal cords [126]. As a result, four weeks after post injection, increased angiogenesis and neurite growth at the lesion site was detected compared to the hydrogel alone. Cao et al. incorporated recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) loaded 2-N, 6-O-sulfated chitosan nanoparticles into photocross-linking gelatin hydrogels, and demonstrated the generation of a mature compact bone in a rabbit critical size defect model 12 weeks after implantation (Fig. 3B) [127].

5.2.3 Immobilization of GFs in hydrogels by interactions with ECM components

GAGs, heparin/heparan sulfate, chondroitin sulfate, keratin sulfate, and HA [128], are negatively charged components of ECM, which interact with GFs, such as VEGF [129], PDGFs [130], TGF-βs [131], and FGFs [132]. These interactions protect GFs from immediate clearance and enzymatic degradation in vivo [133], and can also modulate stability, activity, and release kinetics of GFs [134].

To mimic the GF binding mechanism of GAGs from natural ECM, HA, heparin, and chondroitin sulfate have been chemically functionalized. A PEG-crosslinked heparin hydrogel was generated by Tae et al. [135] and loaded with VEGF by direct injection into the gel. Over three weeks, a slow and sustained release of active VEGF was demonstrated. In another study, amino end-functionalized star-shaped PEG (starPEG) was crosslinked with EDC/N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (EDC/s-NHS)-activated carboxylic acid groups of heparin and loaded with bFGF and VEGF [136, 137]. The combined delivery of bFGF and VEGF led to a superior pro-angiogenic effect in vitro and in a chicken embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model [138]. Furthermore, Kim et al. crosslinked thiolated heparin with acrylated PEG and incorporated hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) [139]. After 30 days in culture, only 40% of HGF was released. This heparin functionalized hydrogel system showed promising results regarding the encapsulation and maintenance of rat hepatocytes. HGF-containing heparin hydrogels upregulated the liver products, albumin and urea, compared to the hydrogels without HGF. Thus, these hydrogels could be used in future applications as matrices for the transplantation of hepatocytes, and also for the in vitro differentiation of stem cells into hepatocytes.

5.2.4 Immobilization of GFs via high-affinity molecular pairs in hydrogels

Streptavidin purified from the bacterium Streptomyces avidinii is a homo-tetramer and has an extraordinarily high affinity for biotin (Kd = 10 to 15) [140]. Barnase is a bacterial ribonuclease that can bind with high affinity to its inhibitor, barstar [141]. Thus, biotin-streptavidin or barnase-barstar interactions can be used for the selective and site-specific noncovalent immobilization of GFs into hydrogels.

Wylie et al. generated bioactive 3D-patterned hydrogels by the immobilization of maleimide-modified streptavidin and barnase using two-photon irradiation chemistry to thiolated-agarose hydrogels [142]. Afterwards, stem-cell differentiation factors, barstar-labelled Sonic Hedgehog and biotinylated ciliary neurotrophic factor, were simultaneously immobilized by barnase-barstar and streptavidin-biotin complexation. In another study, methacrylate chitosan was thiolated and conjugated to maleimide streptavidin via Michael-type addition [143]. Then, biotinylated recombinant pro-neural rat interferon γ (IFN-γ) was immobilized on streptavidin-modified chitosan hydrogels. These hydrogels improved the neuronal differentiation of neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs) both in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 3C) [144]. Furthermore, Tam et al. generated injectable HA and methyl cellulose (HAMC) hydrogels containing GRGDS and recombinant rat PDGF-A [145]. Here, thiol-maleimide click chemistry was used to covalently immobilize maleimide-GRGDS and maleimide-streptavidin to thiolated-methyl cellulose polymer. Rat NSPCs cultured in the HAMC-GRGDS/PDGF-A hydrogel demonstrated increased differentiation into oligodendrocytes.

5.2.5 Immobilization of GFs via aptamers in hydrogels

Aptamers are short single-stranded DNA or RNA oligonucleotides (generally 25–100 nt long). Like antibodies, they can fold in 3D structures and bind their targets with a high affinity and specificity. Aptamers can be selected by a combinatorial chemistry process called systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment [146, 147] against various types of targets, such as small molecules [148], proteins [149], bacteria [150], viruses [151], or even whole living cells [152].

Aptamers can be immobilized in hydrogels at defined positions based on their functional groups (e.g. amine, thiol, acrydite, or biotin). The release kinetics of GFs can be influenced by incorporation of aptamers with different binding affinities (Fig. 3D) [153]. Furthermore, the release of GFs can be triggered specifically by adding complementary sequences of aptamers at defined time points. Thereby, regenerative processes can be modulated and smart drug delivery systems can be generated. Recently, Galli et al. functionalized PEG diacrylate/thiolated HA hydrogels with aptamers against fibronecatin to enrich the
hydrogels with fibronectin, thereby improving cellular adhesion and colonization in hydrogels [154]. Zhang et al. fabricated macroporous gelatin-PEG hydrogels containing anti-VEGF RNA aptamers [40] in which gelatin provided binding sites for HUVECs, and where the aptamer sequestered VEGF for its sustained release. In other studies, polyacrylamide hydrogels with PDGF-BB capturing aptamers [155] and injectable poloxamer hydrogels containing polystyrene particles coated with biotinylated anti-PDGF-BB aptamers [156] were generated. These studies demonstrated that the aptamer-functionalized hydrogels could prolong PDGF-BB release. The use of aptamers with different affinities for specific GFs could also enable the control of release rate of GFs from the hydrogel. In another study, superporous hydrogels were synthesized, and PDGF-BB was successfully incorporated into these hydrogels by immobilization of anti-PDGF-BB DNA aptamers [157].

6 Examples of hydrogel-based regenerative engineering strategies

In this section, we highlight the important strategies of regenerative engineering for treating multiple tissues, such as musculoskeletal, neural, and cardiac tissues (Fig. 4).

6.1 Musculoskeletal tissue

The disease and injury of musculoskeletal tissues, including bone and cartilage, are the one of the major causes of chronic morbidity [158]. To treat these musculoskeletal tissue defects, hydrogel materials are widely used after optimization of their properties considering (i) mechanical stability with appropriate strength and stiffness to withstand mechanical loading and (ii) spatiotemporally mimicking osteochondral ECM to systematically direct stem cells to differentiate into specific lineages for neo-tissue formation.

The fabrication of hydrogel scaffolds that match the mechanical properties of natural musculoskeletal tissues, especially those in load-bearing areas, remains a challenge. To achieve this goal, advanced nano- and micro-technologies, such as electrospinning [159] and 3D bioprinting [60, 160] are used to generate cell-laden hydrogel implants with enhanced mechanical integrity. For example, Visser et al. reinforced soft hydrogels with a high-porosity microfiber network by melt electrospinning writing [159]. PCL was melted and then assembled layer-by-layer with a small diameter in a direct writing mode. Compared to hydrogels or microfiber scaffolds alone, the stiffness of gel/scaffold composites increased up to 54-fold and became similar to cartilage tissue. In addition, Kang et al. developed a 3D printed construct integrated with rigid PCL scaffolds and perfusable cell-laden hydrogels. The bioprinted constructs demonstrated maturation for mandible and calvarial bone, cartilage, and skeletal muscle in vivo (Fig. 4A) [60].

Another challenge in musculoskeletal tissue regeneration is the recreation of multiscale hierarchical structures and compositions. For instance, the ECM of bone tissue is composed of mineralized collagen nanofibers, which forms sophisticated architecture that can promote high mechanical strength [161]. In addition, cartilage tissue has three zones with distinguished anisotropic structure and polarity [162]. To engineer these heterogeneous musculoskeletal tissues or tissue interfaces, various biophysical and/or biochemical features should be incorporated into hydrogels in a controlled manner. Recently, using gelatin microparticles, multiple growth factors such as IGF-1 and BMP-2 were embedded and delivered into hydrogel composites for osteochondral regeneration [163].

6.2 Neural tissues

To regenerate neural tissues, there is a requirement for axon outgrowth, migration and formation of a connective neural network [164]. The creation of such networks is challenging, as axonal guidance is sensitive to various physicochemical properties of the scaffold, such as mechanical properties, topography, and various neurogenic growth factors which can bind on the scaffold [165, 166].

Nanofibers incorporated in hydrogel scaffolds can act as topographical and biological cues to enhance neural regeneration. For example, McMurtrey fabricated a unique 3D neural tissue using electrospun PCL nanofibers integrated into hydrogel scaffolds [167]. These laminin-functionalized nanofibers enabled the alignment and neurite outgrowth of neuronal cells. In a work by Milbreta et al., a 3D hybrid collagen scaffold which included aligned and sparsely distributed poly (ε-caprolactone-co-ethyl ethylene phosphate) nanofibers promoted the regrowth of axons in a rat spinal cord injury model. The results showed that hydrogel scaffolds containing neurotrophin-3 incorporated nanofiber parallel to spinal cord could guide neurite extensions and neovascularization in vivo [168].

Recently, self-assembling peptide (SAP) hydrogels have emerged as an attractive scaffold for neural regeneration due to their biomimetic nanoﬁbrous structure and neurogenic property (Fig. 4B) [169, 170]. For example, the RADA16-I peptide, a widely investigated SAP, can promote attachment and proliferation of neural cells, outgrowth of neurites, and the formation of synapses [171]. Furthermore, after filling the wound site, this RADA16-I peptide solution can repair an injured optical pathway and restore visual function [169]. However, despite these advantages of RADA16-I, the acidic pH of RADA16-I may cause damage to cells in 3D culture, and even host tissues upon injection. To overcome this limitation, Sun et al. created nanofiber hydrogels at neutral pH using two oppositely charged SAPs, containing the sequence iso-
leucine-lysine-valine-alanine-valine (IKVAV) from laminin and the sequence RGD from fibronectin [172]. Compared to the low viability in RADA16-I, neural progenitor cells could survive in 3D-IKVAV/-RGD nanofiber hydrogels. These hydrogels could also support neuron and astrocyte differentiation without the need for incorporating growth factors. When these 3D-IKVAV/-RGD nanofiber hydrogels were implanted in nerve injury models such as a sciatic nerve defect, intracerebral hemorrhage, and spinal cord transection, the nerves were able to grow into the hydrogel scaffold. On the other hand, nerves only grew along the walls of the cavities or around the whole grafts in the RADA16-I hydrogel.

6.3 Cardiac tissue

Cardiac tissue has poor regeneration capacity due to the limited proliferation ability of adult cardiomyocytes, so external treatment is necessary to heal the damaged cardiac tissues [173]. The blood pump function of heart is achieved by helical-laminar assembly of hierarchically organized nanofibrous structures [174]. Therefore, to regenerate cardiac tissues, hydrogel scaffolds with controlled structural and functional properties are required to recapitulate the 3D cardiac ECM architecture [175]. Advanced fabrication methods have enabled the precise engineering of biophysical architecture (e.g. by controlling surface topography) and functional components (e.g. by incorporating electroconductive materials) at the nanoscale.

The effects of micro- and nanoscale topographies on behaviors of cardiac cells have been widely investigated [176], since the native cardiac tissue consists of aligned myofibers [177]. In particular, using photolithography, well-defined nano-patterns (e.g. grooves, ridges, pillars) were developed on hydrogels to control the interactions between cells and the substrate [178]. For example, a micro-patterned hydrogel with highly elastic properties was created by photocrosslinking methacrylated tropoelastin [179]. The micro-patterns on the hydrogel sup-

Figure 4. Regenerative engineering strategies for treating multiple tissues: (A) 3D printed hydrogel constructs incorporating microchannels with enhanced mechanical properties for musculoskeletal tissue regeneration. (i) Schematic of 3D patterned architecture including cell-laden hydrogels, supporting PCL polymer and microchannels. (ii) 3D printed PCL/tricalcium phosphate (TCP) mixture and cell-laden hydrogel for bone reconstruction. SEM images of the 3D printed calvarial bone constructs and photos at five months after in vivo implantation. Images reprinted with permission [60]. Copyright 2016, Nature Publishing Group. (B) Injectable nanofibrous SAP hydrogel promotes neurological recovery of spinal cord injuries. (i) Scanning electron microscopy image of K2(QL6)2 SAP (QL6) nanofibrous hydrogel. (ii) Neural precursor cells grown on QL6 hydrogel scaffold demonstrated by immunocytochemistry. (iii) Longitudinally sectioned view of rat spinal cord, monitored one week and eight weeks after implementing QL6 hydrogel at the injury site. The QL6 hydrogel almost biodegraded after eight weeks. (iv) Luxol fast blue and H&E staining showed a greater size of the spared tissue in QL6-treated group compared to saline control group. Images reprinted with permission [170]. Copyright 2013 Elsevier. (C) GelMA hydrogel incorporated with electrically conductive CNT for cardiac tissue regeneration. (i) Schematic of CNT-embedded GelMA hydrogel fabrication process. (ii) Enhanced alignment and elongation of cardiac cells grown on CNT-GelMA compared to pristine GelMA. Reproduced with permission [181]. Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society.
ported the alignment of neonatal cardiomyocytes and generated superior maturation of cardiomyocytes compared to a flat substrate.

In addition, the heart achieves its spontaneous beating behavior through electroconductive networks. Therefore, the development of electrically conductive hydrogels is crucial to achieve the functional regeneration of cardiac tissues. In this respect, various types of conductive materials, including one- and two-dimensional carbon based nanostructures such as graphene [180] and carbon nanotubes [181] have been incorporated within cardiac-tissue hydrogels. For example, in the work of Shin et al., carbon nanotubes were added into hydrogels to improve electrical signal propagation in the cardiac constructs, and its mechanical strength [181]. When neonatal rat cardiomyocytes were seeded on carbon nanotube incorporated GelMA hydrogels, the resulting myocardial tissues expressed three times higher spontaneous synchronous beating rates and 85% lower excitation threshold, compared to those of pure GelMA hydrogels (Fig. 4C).

7 Conclusions and future perspective

Hydrogels have played a pivotal role in the field of regenerative engineering due to their similarity to native ECM. Through integration of multiple disciplines, especially materials engineering and cell biology, tremendous progress has been achieved in the understanding of cell-ECM interactions at the nano-scale, based on the fabrication of biomimetic hydrogels. In this review, we highlighted state of the art of micro- and nanotechnologies that have been successfully applied for the biophysical, biochemical, and biofunctional modulation of hydrogels. For example, advanced nanotechnologies have enabled the spatio-temporal control of physicochemical properties of hydrogels, such as stiffness, porosity, degradability, stability, pH and temperature sensitivity, and cell adhesion properties, to fabricate complex biomimetic architectures for specific tissue regeneration. In combination with stem cell technologies, these hydrogels with optimized physicochemical cues will further allow for the mimicking of the dynamic developmental- or regeneration-stage specific microenvironment [7, 85]. Along with biophysical and biochemical features, the incorporation of various bioactive materials by advanced chemical strategies has led to a significant improvement of the biocompatibility and function of hydrogels. Based on these advantages, remarkable progress has been achieved in applying hydrogels to treat multiple tissues, including musculoskeletal, neural, and cardiac tissues. In addition, the advances in biofabrication technologies, such as multi-material 3D printing, have enabled the generation of thick vascularized tissues by integrating cell-laden hydrogels and supporting scaffolds [60, 182]. In particular, hydrogels with heterogeneous structures and multiple cell components are expected to facilitate the regeneration of whole organs in the near future [3, 183]. We expect that nano- and micro-fabricated hydrogels will continue to play an important role in regenerating complex tissues and biological systems.
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